

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,843	10/756,843 01/1		Peter Secor	600323-002	1413
73019	7590	11/13/2008		EXAMINER	
IBM Cor c/o Dreier	p. (DRE)(	AUS)			
499 Park			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York	k, NY 100	)22			
		•		DATE MAILED: 11/12/2009	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
lotifica	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/756,843	SECOR ET AL.					
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
		Brian Whipple	2452					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
The Ap	peal Brief filed on <u>22 October 2008</u> is defective	for failure to comply with one or r	more provisions	of 37 CFR 41.37.				
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.								
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	inder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ms are not under	the proper				
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).							
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).							
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).							
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).							
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):							
	The grounds of rejection to be reviewed does not obnef is not required just the defective section may be	cite the same rejection as present in e submitted .	the final office acti	on. An entire				
		Bridget C. Monroe /Bridget C. Monroe/ Patent Appeals Center Sp 571-272-1651	pecialist <sup>°</sup>					